

ON A LOWER BOUND FOR THE DIMENSION OF NON-ABELIAN THETA FUNCTIONS OF POSITIVE GENUS

ARZU BOYSAL

ABSTRACT. Let $\mathcal{C}_g$ be a smooth projective irreducible curve over $\mathbb{C}$ of genus $g \geq 1$ and let $\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_s\}$ be a set of distinct points on $\mathcal{C}_g$. We fix a nonnegative integer ℓ and denote by $M_g(\underline{p}, \underline{\lambda})$ the moduli space of parabolic semistable vector bundles of rank r with trivial determinant and fixed parabolic structure of type $\underline{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_s)$ at $\underline{p} = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_s)$, where each weight λ_i is in $P_\ell(\mathrm{SL}(r))$. On $M_g(\underline{p}, \underline{\lambda})$ there is a canonical line bundle $\mathcal{L}(\underline{\lambda}, \ell)$, whose sections are called generalized parabolic $\mathrm{SL}(r)$ -theta functions of order ℓ .

The main result of this paper is: if $\sum_1^s \lambda_i$ is in the root lattice, then

$$\dim H^0(M_g(\underline{p}, \underline{\lambda}), \mathcal{L}(\underline{\lambda}, \ell)) \geq (\sharp P_\ell(\mathrm{SL}(r)))^{g-1},$$

where $\sharp P_\ell(\mathrm{SL}(r)) = (\ell + r - 1)!/(\ell!(r - 1)!)$. Such nontrivial lower bounds for the number of generalized parabolic theta functions were not previously known.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathcal{C}_g$ be a smooth projective irreducible curve over $\mathbb{C}$ of genus $g \geq 1$ and let $\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_s\}$ be a set of distinct points on $\mathcal{C}_g$. We fix a nonnegative integer ℓ and denote by $M_g^{\mathrm{SL}(r)}(\underline{p}, \underline{\lambda})$ (in short $M_g(\underline{p}, \underline{\lambda})$) the moduli space of parabolic semistable vector bundles of rank r with trivial determinant and fixed parabolic structure of type $\underline{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_s)$ at $\underline{p} = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_s)$ (see [MS] or [LS] for definitions), where each weight λ_i is in $P_\ell(\mathrm{SL}(r))$ (cf. section 2.3). For $g \geq 1$, $M_g(\underline{p}, \underline{\lambda})$ is known to be nonempty for any such collection of weights $\underline{\lambda}$ (cf. Remark 3.2).

On $M_g(\underline{p}, \underline{\lambda})$ there is a canonical line bundle $\mathcal{L}(\underline{\lambda}, \ell)$, whose sections are called generalized parabolic $\mathrm{SL}(r)$ -theta functions of order ℓ . The dimension of $H^0(M_g(\underline{p}, \underline{\lambda}), \mathcal{L}(\underline{\lambda}, \ell))$ is given by the celebrated Verlinde formula [V], but it does not give bounds, or even nonzeroness, due to signs involved.

In this paper we prove the following:

1.1. Theorem. *Let $\mathcal{C}_g$ be a smooth projective irreducible curve over $\mathbb{C}$ of genus $g \geq 1$ and let $\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_s\}$ be a set of distinct points on $\mathcal{C}_g$. Fix a nonnegative integer ℓ and denote by $M_g(\underline{p}, \underline{\lambda})$ the moduli space of parabolic semistable $\mathrm{SL}(r)$ -bundles of type $\underline{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_s)$ at $\underline{p} = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_s)$, with each λ_i in $P_\ell(\mathrm{SL}(r))$. If $\sum_1^s \lambda_i$ lies in the root lattice, then*

$$\dim H^0(M_g(\underline{p}, \underline{\lambda}), \mathcal{L}(\underline{\lambda}, \ell)) \geq (\sharp P_\ell(\mathrm{SL}(r)))^{g-1},$$

where $\sharp P_\ell(\mathrm{SL}(r)) = (\ell + r - 1)!/(\ell!(r - 1)!)$.

For $g = 0$ and $M_0(\underline{p}, \underline{\lambda}) \neq \emptyset$, dimension of $H^0(M_0(\underline{p}, \underline{\lambda}), \mathcal{L}(\underline{\lambda}, \ell))$ is known to be positive by works of Belkale on quantum horn and saturation conjectures [Be1].

In the proof of this main result we make essential use of the canonical identification of sections of $\mathcal{L}(\underline{\lambda}, \ell)$ with the space of conformal blocks (as given by Tsuchiya–Ueno–Yamada [TUY] via rational conformal field theory) which is proved for the non-parabolic case (i.e. $s=0$) independently by Beauville–Laszlo [BL], Faltings [F] and Kumar–Narasimhan–Ramanathan [KNR]; parabolic variants are given by Pauly [P] and Laszlo–Sorger [LS]. For the history of the problem and more detailed references we refer to Bourbaki article of Sorger [S].

Outline of the proof is as follows. Under the above mentioned correspondence, the dimension of $H^0(M_g(\underline{p}, \underline{\lambda}), \mathcal{L}(\underline{\lambda}, \ell))$, $n_g(\underline{\lambda})$, obeys the ‘factorization rule’ and has the ‘propagation of vacua’ property as given by [TUY] (cf. Proposition 4.2). Using these, we reduce the problem of determining nontrivial lower bounds for the dimension of sections of $\mathcal{L}(\underline{\lambda}, \ell)$ to the case of genus $g = 1$ (cf. Lemma 6.1).

For $g = 1$, we first prove the existence of a nonzero section for the particular case of one marking (i.e. $s = 1$) using a Parthasarathy–Ranga Rao–Varadachari (PRV) type result for the *fusion product* of $\mathrm{SL}(r)$ representations given by Belkale. We show that for any $\lambda \in P_\ell(\mathrm{SL}(r))$ that is also in the root lattice, there exists a PRV weight $\mu \in P_\ell(\mathrm{SL}(r))$ such that, under the degeneration of the curve to genus 0, the canonical line with the ‘deformed’ parabolic type (λ, μ, μ^*) admits a nonzero section (cf. Proposition 6.3). We then reduce the arbitrary number of markings to this case (cf. Proposition 6.4) by using again the PRV result as above and some properties of the fusion product. By these two propositions, we have $H^0(M_1(\underline{p}, \underline{\lambda}), \mathcal{L}(\underline{\lambda}, \ell)) \neq 0$ (that is $n_1(\underline{\lambda}) > 0$, which is precisely the claim in Theorem 1.1 for $g = 1$). Then, by virtue of the above mentioned reduction to genus 1, Theorem 1.1 follows.

The organization of this paper is as follows: In section 2, we set up the notation and give some preliminaries, while in section 3 we summarize basic definitions and results on the moduli stack and moduli space of parabolic bundles, including the definition of the canonical line bundle $\mathcal{L}(\underline{\lambda}, \ell)$. In section 4, we recall the relation between generalized parabolic theta functions and conformal blocks, and state how the latter behave under degeneration of the curve. In section 5, we define the fusion product and state the PRV result of Belkale. Finally in section 6, we prove the above mentioned reduction and propositions, and deduce the validity of the claim in Theorem 1.1.

Acknowledgements. This work is in response to a question of P. Belkale, which asked if the dimension of $H^0(M_g(\underline{p}, \underline{\lambda}), \mathcal{L}(\underline{\lambda}, \ell))$ is nonzero for $g \geq 1$. I am very thankful to him for many useful discussions, suggestions and reading several earlier versions of this manuscript. I also thank S. Kumar, M.S. Narasimhan and T.R. Ramadas.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We set up the notation and recall basic definitions and concepts on simple algebraic groups. Main reference for this section is Bourbaki [B]. The reader who is familiar with the notation might as well skip to Section 3.

Let G be a connected, simply connected, simple affine algebraic group over $\mathbb{C}$. This will be our assumption on G unless otherwise stated.

2.1. We fix a Borel subgroup B of G and a maximal torus $T \subset B$. Let $\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{b}$ and $\mathfrak{g}$ denote the Lie algebra of T , B and G respectively. Let $R = R(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g}) \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$ be the root system; there is the root space decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus (\oplus_{\alpha \in R} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha)$. We fix a basis $\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\}$ of R , where r is the rank of G . Let R^+ be the set of positive roots. Let $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}$ denote the real span of elements of $\mathfrak{h}$ dual to Δ . For each root α , denote by H_α the unique element of $[\mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}]$ such that $\alpha(H_\alpha) = 2$; we denote by Q the lattice spanned by H_α . Let $\{\omega_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq r}$ be the set of fundamental weights, defined as the basis of $\mathfrak{h}^*$ dual to $\{H_{\alpha_i}\}_{1 \leq i \leq r}$. Denote the weight lattice by $P \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$, that is, $P = \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^* : \lambda(H_\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}, \forall \alpha \in R\}$.

2.2. Let $(\cdot | \cdot)$ denote the Killing form on $\mathfrak{g}$ normalized such that $(H_\theta | H_\theta) = 2$, where θ is the highest root of G . We will use the same notation for the restricted form on $\mathfrak{h}$, and the induced form on $\mathfrak{h}^*$. We introduce the Weyl group $W := N_G(T)/T$. We fix a (closed) positive Weyl chamber in $\mathfrak{h}$, $\mathfrak{h}_+ := \{x \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}} : \alpha(x) \geq 0 \forall \alpha \in R^+\}$; correspondingly a positive Weyl chamber in $\mathfrak{h}^*$, $\mathfrak{h}_+^* := \{\omega \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^* : \omega(H_\alpha) \geq 0 \forall \alpha \in R^+\}$. The Killing form is positive definite on $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}$, thus induces the following canonical isomorphism

$$F : \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}} ; \alpha \mapsto (2/(H_\alpha | H_\alpha))H_\alpha$$

with $F^{-1}(H_\alpha) = (2/(\alpha | \alpha))\alpha$. Under the above identification, W is generated by elements w_α for $\alpha \in \Delta$; acting on $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^*$ as $w_\alpha \beta = \beta - \beta(H_\alpha)\alpha$. Thus, given a weight $\beta \in P$ that is also in the root lattice, for any $w \in W$, $w\beta - \beta$ is also in the root lattice. Let w_0 denote the longest element in the Weyl group. Then for any $\lambda \in P$, the dual $\lambda^* = -w_0\lambda$.

2.3. Linear combinations of $\{\omega_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq r}$ that are in $\mathfrak{h}_+^*$ with integer coefficients are called dominant weights; we denote the set of dominant weights by P^+ . For a positive integer ℓ , define

$$P_\ell(G) := \{\lambda \in P^+ : \lambda(H_\theta) \leq \ell\}.$$

The set $P_\ell(G)$ is finite and stable under taking the dual. In particular, for $G = \mathrm{SL}(r)$ and $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} a_i \omega_i$,

$$P_\ell(\mathrm{SL}(r)) = \{a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} : \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} a_i \leq \ell\}.$$

2.4. Let

$$\mathfrak{A} = \{h \in \mathfrak{h}_+ : \theta(h) \leq 1\}$$

denote the (closed) fundamental alcove. $\mathfrak{A}$ is a fundamental domain for the action on $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}$ of the affine Weyl group W_{aff} , which is the semidirect product

of W and $\check{Q}$. By virtue of the normalization in the Killing form, for any $\lambda \in P_\ell(G)$,

$$\theta(F(\lambda)) = \lambda(F(\theta)) = \lambda(H_\theta).$$

Thus, λ/ℓ determines a unique element $F(\lambda/\ell)$ in $\mathfrak{A}$. Often we shall implicitly identify a weight in $P_\ell(G)$ with the corresponding element in $\mathfrak{A}$, and hence with an orbit of $\mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{R}/W_{\text{aff}}$.

2.5. A subset S of Δ defines a subalgebra $\mathfrak{p}_S = \mathfrak{b} \oplus (\oplus_{\alpha \in S} \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}) \subset \mathfrak{g}$, and hence a standard parabolic subgroup $P_S \subset G$. A dominant weight λ of G uniquely determines a standard parabolic subgroup $P \subset G$ and a dominant character $\chi \in X(P)$, where $X(P)$ denotes the character group of P .

3. REVIEW OF DEFINITIONS AND RESULTS ON MODULI STACK AND MODULI SPACE OF PARABOLIC BUNDLES OVER $\mathcal{C}_g$

Main reference for this section is Laszlo–Sorger [LS]; also see Mehta–Seshadri [MS] for the case $G = \text{SL}(r)$.

Let $\mathcal{C}_g$ be a smooth projective irreducible curve over $\mathbb{C}$ of genus $g \geq 1$ and let $\underline{p} = (p_i)_{1 \leq i \leq s}$ be distinct points on $\mathcal{C}_g$ labelled by dominant weights $\underline{\lambda} = (\lambda_i)_{1 \leq i \leq s}$. We fix a base point b on $\mathcal{C}_g$ distinct from $\underline{p}$. For each weight λ_i define a subset S_i of Δ as $S_i := \{\alpha \in \Delta : \lambda_i(H_\alpha) = 0\}$. We denote by P_i the standard parabolic subgroup associated to S_i (as in section 2.5). For any i , $1 \leq i \leq s$, and j such that $\alpha_j \in \Delta \setminus S_i$, let $t_{i,j} = \lambda_i(H_{\alpha_j})$ denote the corresponding parabolic weight.

3.1. Definition. (i). A *quasi-parabolic G -bundle* of type $\underline{P} = (P_1, \dots, P_s)$ at $\underline{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_s)$ is a G -bundle E on $\mathcal{C}_g$ together with a fibre F_i of the associated bundle $E(G/P_i)$ at p_i for all i , $1 \leq i \leq s$.

(ii). A *parabolic G -bundle* of type $(\underline{P}, \underline{t})$ at $\underline{p}$ is a quasi-parabolic G -bundle of type $\underline{P}$ at $\underline{p}$, together with parabolic weights $\{t_{i,j}\}$ for each i , $1 \leq i \leq s$, and j such that $\alpha_j \in \Delta \setminus S_i$.

(iii). A *family of quasi-parabolic G -bundles* of type $\underline{P}$ on $\mathcal{C}_g$, parametrized by a $\mathbb{C}$ -scheme Z , denoted by $(E, \underline{\sigma})$, is a G -bundle E over $Z \times \mathcal{C}_g$ together with sections $\sigma_i : Z \rightarrow E(G/P_i)|_{Z \times \{p_i\}}$ for each i , $1 \leq i \leq s$.

(iv). A *morphism* from $(E, \underline{\sigma})$ to $(E', \underline{\sigma}')$ is a morphism $f : E \rightarrow E'$ of G -bundles such that for any i , $1 \leq i \leq s$, the restriction of f to the fibre over $Z \times \{p_i\}$, $f|_{Z \times \{p_i\}}$, satisfies $\underline{\sigma}' = f|_{Z \times \{p_i\}} \circ \underline{\sigma}$.

Let $\mathcal{M}_g^G(\underline{p}, \underline{\lambda})$ denote the (algebraic) moduli stack of quasi-parabolic G -bundles of type $\underline{P}$ at $\underline{p}$ determined by $\underline{\lambda}$. Its objects are families of quasi-parabolic G -bundles of type $\underline{P}$ parametrized by Z , and morphisms are isomorphisms between such families.

It is known that (though we won't strictly need this, it helps to clarify the form of the canonical line bundle that we will shortly define),

$$\text{Pic}(\mathcal{M}_g^G(\underline{p}, \underline{\lambda})) = \mathbb{Z}\mathcal{L} \times \prod_{i=1}^s X(P_i),$$

where for the particular case $G = \mathrm{SL}(r)$, $\mathcal{L}$ is the determinant line bundle. [LS, Theorem 1.1].

For a family $(E, \underline{\sigma})$ of type $\underline{P}$ parametrized by Z , and for fixed i and j ($1 \leq i \leq s$ and j such that $\alpha_j \in \Delta \setminus S_i$) one can define a line bundle on Z as the pullback of the associated line bundle $E \times_{P_i} \mathbb{C}_{-\omega_j}$ on $E(G/P_i)$ (see $E \rightarrow E(G/P_i)$ as a P_i -bundle) using the section σ_i . Let $\mathcal{L}_{i,j}$ denote the line bundle on the stack, constructed as such on any Z . Then, there is a canonical line bundle on $\mathcal{M}_g^G(\underline{p}, \underline{\lambda})$ defined as

$$\mathcal{L}(\underline{\lambda}, \ell) = \mathcal{L}^\ell \boxtimes (\boxtimes_{i=1}^n (\boxtimes_{j: \alpha_j \in \Delta \setminus S_i} \mathcal{L}_{i,j}^{t_{i,j}}))$$

where $\{t_{i,j}\}$ are the parabolic weights (which are positive integers by definition).

There exists a (coarse) moduli space for $\mathcal{M}_g^G(\underline{p}, \underline{\lambda})$, which is a projective variety and its points are equivalence classes of parabolic semistable G -bundles of a fixed topological type and fixed parabolic structure [BR, Theorem II]. We denote this space by $M_g^G(\underline{p}, \underline{\lambda})$.

Fix a maximal compact subgroup K of $\bar{G}$. Let C_g denote the Riemann surface with genus g . The real analytic space underlying $M_g^G(\underline{p}, \underline{\lambda})$ admits a description as the space of representations of the fundamental group $\pi_1(C_g \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_s\}, b)$ into K upto conjugation. (For the proof of this identification we refer to Bhosle–Ramanathan [BR, Proposition 2.3], see also Teleman–Woodward [TW]; the particular case of $G = \mathrm{SL}(r)$ is given by Mehta–Seshadri [MS, Theorem 4.1]. In [MS], the case $K = U(r)$ and $g \geq 2$ is considered, but the generalization of the above identification to $K = \mathrm{SU}(r)$ and $g \geq 0$ is immediate and well known).

Recall that $\pi_1(C_g \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_s\}, b)$ is a free group on $2g + s$ generators with one relation:

$$\pi_1(C_g \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_s\}, b) = \langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_g, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_g, c_1, \dots, c_s : \prod_{i=1}^g [a_i, b_i] = \prod_{j=1}^s c_j \rangle,$$

where $[a, b] := aba^{-1}b^{-1}$ for any symbol a and b . As is well known, the set of conjugacy classes of K is in bijective correspondence with $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}/W_{\mathrm{aff}}$. For a collection of weights $\underline{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s)$ in $P_\ell(G)$, we denote by C_i the conjugacy class of $\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}F(\lambda_i/\ell))$, $1 \leq i \leq s$ (see section 2.2 for the definition of F and 2.4 for the assignment). Then, we have the following isomorphism as real analytic spaces

$$M_g^G(\underline{p}, \underline{\lambda}) \simeq \{(k_1, \dots, k_{2g}, h_1, \dots, h_s) \in K^{2g+s} : \prod_{i=1}^g [k_i, k_{i+g}] = \prod_{j=1}^s h_j, h_i \in C_i\} / \mathrm{Ad}K \quad (*),$$

where $/\mathrm{Ad}K$ refers to the quotient under the diagonal adjoint action of K on K^{2g+s} .

3.2. Remark. By a theorem of Gotô [G], the image of the commutator map $K \times K \rightarrow K$; $(k_1, k_2) \mapsto [k_1, k_2]$ is surjective. Thus, under the identification (*) above, for $g \geq 1$ the moduli space $M_g^G(\underline{p}, \underline{\lambda})$ is nonempty for any collection of weights $\underline{\lambda}$ in $P_\ell(G)$.

3.3. Remark. For $G = \mathrm{SL}(r)$, $\mathcal{L}(\underline{\lambda}, \ell)$ descends to $M_g^G(\underline{p}, \underline{\lambda})$ (see for example [DN] or [P]).

Moreover, the following canonical correspondence exists between their sections.

3.4. Theorem.

$$H^0(\mathcal{M}_g^{\mathrm{SL}(r)}(\underline{p}, \underline{\lambda}), \mathcal{L}(\underline{\lambda}, \ell)) \simeq H^0(M_g^{\mathrm{SL}(r)}(\underline{p}, \underline{\lambda}), \mathcal{L}(\underline{\lambda}, \ell)).$$

For the non-parabolic case the proof of Theorem 3.4 can be found in Beauville–Laszlo [BL, Propositions 8.3 and 8.4]; the generalization to the parabolic case is immediate and given by Pauly [P, Proposition 5.2]. For any G simple simply connected see Kumar–Narasimhan–Ramanathan [KNR, Propositions 6.4 and 6.5, and Theorem 6.6] and Narasimhan–Ramadas [NR].

4. GENERALIZED PARABOLIC THETA FUNCTIONS AND CONFORMAL BLOCKS

In this section we will recall the relation between generalized parabolic G -theta functions of level ℓ , that is, $H^0(\mathcal{M}_g^G(\underline{p}, \underline{\lambda}), \mathcal{L}(\underline{\lambda}, \ell))$, and the space of conformal blocks given by Tsuchiya, Ueno and Yamada [TUY].

Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}((z)) \oplus \mathbb{C}C$ denote the (untwisted) affine lie algebra associated to $\mathfrak{g}$ over $\mathbb{C}((z))$, with the lie bracket given by

$$[x \otimes f, y \otimes g] = [x, y] \otimes fg + ((x|y) \mathrm{Res}(gdf)) \cdot C \text{ and } [\mathfrak{g}, C] = 0$$

for $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $f, g \in \mathbb{C}((z))$.

We fix a positive integer ℓ , called the *level*, and recall the definition of $P_\ell(G)$ from section 2.3. For each $\lambda \in P_\ell(G)$ there exists a unique (upto isomorphism) left $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module $\mathcal{H}_\lambda$, called the integrable highest weight $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module, with the central element C acting as $\ell \cdot \mathrm{Id}$ (see Kac [K] for further details).

Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be any lie algebra; denote by $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{a})$ its universal enveloping algebra and by $\mathcal{U}^+(\mathfrak{a}) := \mathfrak{a}\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{a})$ the augmentation ideal of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{a})$. For an $\mathfrak{a}$ -module V , the quotient module $V/\mathcal{U}^+(\mathfrak{a})V$ is said to be the *space of coinvariants* of V with respect to $\mathfrak{a}$, and is denoted by $[V]_{\mathfrak{a}}$.

4.1. Definition. The space of *conformal blocks* on $\mathcal{C}_g$ with marked points $(p_1, \dots, p_k)$ and weights $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$ attached to them (each $\lambda_i \in P_\ell(G)$) with central charge ℓ is

$$V_{\mathcal{C}_g}^G(\underline{p}, \underline{\lambda}) := [\mathcal{H}_{\lambda_1} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\lambda_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\lambda_k}]_{\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C}_g \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_k\})},$$

where $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C}_g \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_k\})$ denotes the ring of algebraic functions on the punctured curve $\mathcal{C}_g \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$. (See [TUY] for details of the particular action used in taking the coinvariants.)

These vector spaces form a projectively flat vector bundle over the moduli space of smooth projective complex curves with marked points; hence the rank of the vector space $V_{\mathcal{C}_g}^G(\underline{p}, \underline{\lambda})$ depends only on the genus g and on weights $\underline{\lambda}$ [TUY, Lemma 2.3.2 and Remark 4.1.7]. Denote this common rank by $n_g(\underline{\lambda})$, that is, $n_g(\underline{\lambda}) = \dim V_{\mathcal{C}_g}^G(\underline{p}, \underline{\lambda})$. Its value is given by the Verlinde formula [V].

Below, we state the principle of ‘propagation of vacua’ and the ‘factorization rule’ for conformal blocks, only in terms of their dimensions, as we won’t need explicit isomorphisms.

4.2. Proposition. *For any collection of weights $\underline{\lambda}$ in $P_\ell(G)$:*

(a). (Propagation of vacua, [TUY, Proposition 2.2.3]) $n_g(\underline{\lambda}, 0) = n_g(\underline{\lambda})$.

(b). (Factorization rule, [TUY, Proposition 2.2.6])

$$n_g(\underline{\lambda}) = \sum_{\nu \in P_\ell(G)} n_{g-1}(\underline{\lambda}, \nu, \nu^*).$$

4.3. Theorem. *There is a canonical isomorphism*

$$H^0(\mathcal{M}_g^G(\underline{p}, \underline{\lambda}), \mathcal{L}(\underline{\lambda}, \ell)) \simeq V_{\mathcal{C}_g}^G(\underline{p}, \underline{\lambda}).$$

See introduction for the list of references attributed to the proof of Theorem 4.3.

Finally, by Remark 3.3, Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 4.3, for $G = \mathrm{SL}(r)$,

$$H^0(M_g^{\mathrm{SL}(r)}(\underline{p}, \underline{\lambda}), \mathcal{L}(\underline{\lambda}, \ell)) \simeq V_{\mathcal{C}_g}^{\mathrm{SL}(r)}(\underline{p}, \underline{\lambda}) \quad (**).$$

5. FUSION PRODUCT AND PRV COMPONENTS

Let $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})$ denote the Grothendieck ring of finite dimensional representations of $\mathfrak{g}$. There is a bijection of P^+ onto $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})$, where a dominant weight λ is associated to the isomorphism class of the simple $\mathfrak{g}$ -module V_λ containing a highest weight vector with weight λ . The multiplicative structure in $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})$ is induced from the tensor product of two representations.

The *fusion ring* associated to $\mathfrak{g}$ and the nonnegative integer ℓ , $\mathcal{R}_\ell(\mathfrak{g})$, is a free $\mathbb{Z}$ -module with basis $\{V_\lambda, \lambda \in P_\ell(G)\}$. The ring structure is defined as follows:

$$V_\lambda \otimes^F V_\mu := \bigoplus_{\nu \in P_\ell(G)} n_{\lambda, \mu}(\nu^*) V_\nu,$$

where

$$n_{\lambda, \mu}(\nu^*) := \dim[\mathcal{H}_\lambda \otimes \mathcal{H}_\mu \otimes \mathcal{H}_\nu^*]_{\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{3 \text{ points}\})} = n_0(\lambda, \mu, \nu^*),$$

where $\mathcal{H}_\lambda$ refers to the irreducible integrable $\mathfrak{g}$ -module of highest weight λ as described in section 4. The product $\otimes^F$ is clearly commutative; it is also associative by virtue of the ‘factorization rule’.

We now recall (a version of) the Parthasarathy-Ranga Rao-Varadachari (PRV) conjecture (already proven) for the tensor product of two simple $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules. Let V_{λ_1} and V_{λ_2} be two finite dimensional irreducible $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules with highest weights λ_1 and λ_2 respectively. Then, for any $w \in W$ the irreducible $\mathfrak{g}$ -module $V_{\overline{\lambda_1 + w\lambda_2}}$ occurs with multiplicity at least one in $V_{\lambda_1} \otimes V_{\lambda_2}$, where $\overline{\lambda_1 + w\lambda_2}$ denotes the unique dominant element in the W -orbit of $\lambda_1 + w\lambda_2$. We refer to Kumar [Ku] for the proof.

An analogous theorem for the *fusion product* of $\mathfrak{sl}(r)$ representations is given by Belkale. Before stating this theorem we observe the following.

Given any $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in P_\ell(G)$ and $w \in W_{\text{aff}}$, there exists $\tilde{w} \in W_{\text{aff}}$ such that $\tilde{w}(F(\lambda_1/\ell) + wF(\lambda_2/\ell)) \in \mathfrak{A}$ is the unique element in the W_{aff} -orbit of $F(\lambda_1/\ell) + wF(\lambda_2/\ell)$ (see section 2.4). Clearly,

$$F^{-1}(\tilde{w}(F(\lambda_1/\ell) + wF(\lambda_2/\ell))) = \tilde{w}(\lambda_1/\ell + w\lambda_2/\ell).$$

Moreover, $\ell(\tilde{w}(\lambda_1/\ell + w\lambda_2/\ell))$ is in $P_\ell(G)$ by construction, and is of the form $\tilde{w}'(\lambda_1 + w\lambda_2)$ for some $\tilde{w}' \in W_{\text{aff}}$ such that $\tilde{w}' \equiv \tilde{w} \pmod{\ell\check{Q}}$. We denote this element in $P_\ell(G)$ (uniquely determined by the triple λ_1, λ_2 and w) by $\overline{\lambda_1 + w\lambda_2}^F$.

5.1. Theorem. ([Be1], Theorem 1.7) *With notation as before, $M_0^{\text{SL}(r)}(\underline{p}, \underline{\lambda}) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $n_0(\underline{\lambda}) \neq 0$.*

5.2. Theorem. (PRV for fusion product, [Be2]) *Let V_{λ_1} and V_{λ_2} be finite dimensional irreducible $\mathfrak{sl}(r)$ -modules with highest weights λ_1 and λ_2 respectively, with $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in P_\ell(\text{SL}(r))$. Then, for any $w \in W_{\text{aff}}$, the irreducible $\mathfrak{sl}(r)$ -module $V_{\overline{\lambda_1 + w\lambda_2}}^F$ occurs with multiplicity at least one in $V_{\lambda_1} \otimes^F V_{\lambda_2}$.*

Proof. (P. Belkale informed me that he learned of this derivation of PRV from Theorem 1.7. in [Be1] from C. Woodward).

Let $\mu_i = F(\lambda_i/\ell)$ for $i = 1, 2$, and $\mu_3 = \tilde{w}F(\lambda_1/\ell + w\lambda_2/\ell) = \tilde{w}(\mu_1 + w\mu_2)$, where $\tilde{w}(\mu_1 + w\mu_2) \in \mathfrak{A}$ is the unique element in the W_{aff} -orbit of $\mu_1 + w\mu_2$. Clearly, there exist elements w_1, w_2 , and $w_3 \in W_{\text{aff}}$ such that $w_1\mu_1 + w_2\mu_2 + w_3\mu_3^* = 0$, where μ_3^* denotes the dual of μ_3 as in section 2.2. Thus, under the identification $(*)$ in section 3, $M_0^{\text{SL}(r)}(\underline{p}, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, (\overline{\lambda_1 + w\lambda_2}^F)^*) \neq \emptyset$. Then it follows from Theorem 5.1 that $n_0(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, (\overline{\lambda_1 + w\lambda_2}^F)^*) \neq 0$; equivalently $V_{\overline{\lambda_1 + w\lambda_2}}^F \subset V_{\lambda_1} \otimes^F V_{\lambda_2}$ by the definition of the fusion product. $\square$

6. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

In this section we restrict ourselves to $G = \text{SL}(r)$. Recall that under the identification $(**)$ of section 4,

$$(1) \quad \dim H^0(M_g^{\text{SL}(r)}(\underline{p}, \underline{\lambda}), \mathcal{L}(\underline{\lambda}, \ell)) = \dim V_{C_g}^{\text{SL}(r)}(\underline{p}, \underline{\lambda}) = n_g(\underline{\lambda}).$$

6.1. Lemma. *It suffices to show that Theorem 1.1 holds for $g = 1$.*

Proof. Using the ‘factorization rule’, Proposition 4.2(b), for any collection of weights $\underline{\lambda}$ in $P_\ell(\mathrm{SL}(r))$

$$\begin{aligned} n_g(\underline{\lambda}) &= \sum_{\nu \in P_\ell(\mathrm{SL}(r))} n_{g-1}(\underline{\lambda}, \nu, \nu^*) \\ &= n_{g-1}(\underline{\lambda}, 0, 0) + \sum_{\nu \in P_\ell(\mathrm{SL}(r)), \nu \neq 0} n_{g-1}(\underline{\lambda}, \nu, \nu^*). \end{aligned}$$

By ‘propagation of vacua’, Proposition 4.2(a), $n_{g-1}(\underline{\lambda}, 0, 0) = n_{g-1}(\underline{\lambda})$. Hence we get

$$(2) \quad n_g(\underline{\lambda}) \geq n_{g-1}(\underline{\lambda}).$$

Now suppose $n_1(\underline{\lambda}) > 0$. Clearly, by inequality (2), $n_g(\underline{\lambda}) > 0$ for any $g \geq 1$. Moreover, since $\nu + \nu^*$ is in the root lattice for any $\nu \in P$, we get the lower bound as claimed in Theorem 1.1 (under identification (1)) inductively by Proposition 4.2(b). $\square$

6.2. Remark. In the non-parabolic case, that is when $s = 0$, $n_1(\emptyset)$ is equal to the dimension of the fusion ring (i.e. cardinality of $P_\ell(\mathrm{SL}(r))$ by the Verlinde formula [V]) which is positive for any $\ell \geq 0$. Thus, by virtue of Lemma 6.1 and equality (1), Theorem 1.1 trivially holds for this particular case (in fact for any G under the assumptions of section 2 with the corresponding canonical line that descends).

6.3. Proposition. *Theorem 1.1 holds for the case of one marking on $\mathcal{C}_1$ (i.e. for the particular case $g = 1$ and $s = 1$).*

Proof. We will show that for any $\lambda \in P_\ell(\mathrm{SL}(r))$ that is also in the root lattice there exists $\mu \in P_\ell(\mathrm{SL}(r))$ such that $V_\mu \subset V_\lambda \otimes^F V_\mu$. This will imply by the ‘factorization rule’ that $n_1(\lambda) > 0$.

Given $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} a_i \omega_i$ that lies in the root lattice, by change of basis $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} n_i \alpha_i$ for some $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. For any $w \in W_{\mathrm{aff}}$, consider the equation

$$(3) \quad \lambda = \mu' - w\mu'.$$

There exists a $\mu' \in P$ satisfying (3) if and only if λ lies in the root lattice. The latter granted, we choose $w = w_{\alpha_{r-1}} w_{\alpha_{r-2}} \cdots w_{\alpha_2} w_{\alpha_1} \in W$. It is trivial to see that, for this particular choice of w , $\mu' = \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} (n_i - n_{i-1}) \omega_i$ (with $n_0 = 0$) is the solution in P . Let $\tilde{w} \in W_{\mathrm{aff}}$ such that $\mu := \tilde{w}^{-1} \mu'$ is in $P_\ell(\mathrm{SL}(r))$. Then we have

$$\lambda = \tilde{w}\mu - w\tilde{w}\mu,$$

that is, $\overline{\lambda + w\tilde{w}\mu}^F = \mu$. Therefore, by Theorem 5.2, $V_\mu \subset V_\lambda \otimes^F V_\mu$; equivalently $n_0(\lambda, \mu, \mu^*) > 0$. Then it follows from Proposition 4.2(b) that $n_1(\lambda) > 0$. Hence the claim by equality (1). $\square$

6.4. Proposition. *Theorem 1.1 holds for arbitrary number of markings on $\mathcal{C}_1$.*

Proof. We will show that $n_1(\underline{\lambda}) > 0$ whenever $\sum_{i=1}^s \lambda_i$ lies in the root lattice of $\mathrm{SL}(r)$.

Recall that for any $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in P_\ell(\mathrm{SL}(r))$ and $w \in W_{\mathrm{aff}}$, there exists $\tilde{w}' \in W_{\mathrm{aff}}$ such that $\tilde{w}'(\lambda_1 + w\lambda_2)$ is in $P_\ell(\mathrm{SL}(r))$. Moreover, by Theorem 5.2, the $\mathfrak{sl}(r)$ representation with highest weight $\tilde{w}'(\lambda_1 + w\lambda_2)$ appears in $V(\lambda_1) \otimes^F V(\lambda_2)$. Clearly, $\tilde{w}'(\lambda_1 + w\lambda_2) = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + (\tilde{w}'\lambda_1 - \lambda_1) + (\tilde{w}'w\lambda_2 - \lambda_2)$. Thus, if $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2$ is in the root lattice, so is $\tilde{w}'(\lambda_1 + w\lambda_2)$ (see section 2.2).

We now repeat the above construction. Given weights $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s \in P_\ell(\mathrm{SL}(r))$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^s \lambda_i$ is in the root lattice, and $w_1 \in W_{\mathrm{aff}}$, there exists $w_2, w_3, \dots, w_s$ in W_{aff} such that the weight

$$\tilde{\lambda} = w_s(\lambda_s + w_{s-1}(\lambda_{s-1} + w_{s-2}(\lambda_{s-2} + \dots)))$$

is in $P_\ell(\mathrm{SL}(r))$ (and in the root lattice). Moreover, by repeated application of Theorem 5.2,

$$(4) \quad V_{\lambda_1} \otimes^F V_{\lambda_2} \otimes^F \dots \otimes^F V_{\lambda_s} \supset V_{\tilde{\lambda}}.$$

Since $V_{\lambda_1} \otimes^F V_{\lambda_2} \otimes^F \dots \otimes^F V_{\lambda_s}$ decomposes with positive structure coefficients and the fusion product is associative (see section 5), for any $\nu \in P_\ell(\mathrm{SL}(r))$

$$(V_{\lambda_1} \otimes^F \dots \otimes^F V_{\lambda_s}) \otimes^F V_\nu = V_{\lambda_1} \otimes^F \dots \otimes^F V_{\lambda_s} \otimes^F V_\nu \supset V_{\tilde{\lambda}} \otimes^F V_\nu.$$

In particular,

$$(5) \quad n_0(\underline{\lambda}, \nu, \nu^*) \geq n_0(\tilde{\lambda}, \nu, \nu^*)$$

where $\underline{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s)$.

Using Proposition 4.2(b),

$$(6) \quad n_1(\underline{\lambda}) = \sum_{\nu \in P_\ell(\mathrm{SL}(r))} n_0(\underline{\lambda}, \nu, \nu^*) \geq \sum_{\nu \in P_\ell(\mathrm{SL}(r))} n_0(\tilde{\lambda}, \nu, \nu^*),$$

where the inequality in (6) holds by (5) term by term. Using one more time Proposition 4.2(b),

$$(7) \quad \sum_{\nu \in P_\ell(\mathrm{SL}(r))} n_0(\tilde{\lambda}, \nu, \nu^*) = n_1(\tilde{\lambda}).$$

By construction $\tilde{\lambda}$ is in root lattice and in $P_\ell(\mathrm{SL}(r))$. Thus, by Proposition 6.3, $n_1(\tilde{\lambda}) > 0$. Then it follows from (6) and (7) that $n_1(\underline{\lambda}) > 0$. Hence the claim, under the identification (1). $\square$

By the virtue of Lemma 6.1 and Proposition 6.4, Theorem 1.1 holds.

REFERENCES

- [B] Bourbaki N., *Groupes et Algèbres de Lie*, Chap. 4–6, Masson, Paris, 1981.
- [Be1] Belkale P., *Quantum generalization of the Horn conjecture*, math.AG/0303013. latest version, <http://www.unc.edu/~belkale/>
- [Be2] Belkale P., *Private communication*.
- [BL] Beauville A. and Laszlo Y., *Conformal blocks and generalized theta functions*, Commun. Math. Phys. **164**, 385–419, (1994).
- [BR] Bhosle U. and Ramanathan A., *Moduli of Parabolic G -bundles on curves*, Math. Z. **202**, 161–180, (1989).
- [DN] Drezet J.-M. and Narasimhan M.S., *Groupe de Picard des variétés de modules de fibrés semi-stables sur les courbes algébriques*, Invent. Math. **97**, 53–94, (1989).
- [F] Faltings G., *A proof for the Verlinde formula*, J. Alg. Geom. **3**, 347–374, (1994).
- [G] Gotô M., *A theorem on compact semi-simple groups*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **1**, no.3, 270–272, (1949).
- [K] Kac V., *Infinite dimensional lie algebras*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [Ku] Kumar S., *Proof of the Parthasarathy–Rango Rao–Varadarajan conjecture*, Invent. math. **93**, 117–130, (1988).
- [KNR] Kumar S., Narasimhan M.S. and Ramanathan A., *Infinite Grassmannians and moduli spaces of G -bundles*, Math. Annalen **300**, 41–75, (1994).
- [LS] Laszlo Y. and Sorger C., *The line bundles on the moduli of parabolic G -bundles over curves and their sections*, Ann. Scient. Ec. Norm. Sup. 4^e série, t.30, 499–525, (1997).
- [MS] Mehta V.B. and Seshadri C.S., *Moduli of vector bundles on curves with parabolic structures*, Math. Ann. **248**, 205–239, (1980).
- [NR] Narasimhan M.S. and Ramadas T.R., *Factorisation of generalised theta functions. I.*, Invent. Math. **114**, no.3, 565–623, (1993).
- [P] Pauly C., *Espaces de modules paraboliques et blocks conformes*, Duke Math. J. **84**, 217–235, (1996).
- [S] Sorger C., *La formule de Verlinde*, Séminaire Bourbaki 1994/1995.
- [TUY] Tsuchiya A., Ueno K. and Yamada Y., *Conformal field theory on universal family of stable curves with gauge symmetries*, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **19**, 459–565, (1989).
- [TW] Teleman C. and Woodward C., *Parabolic bundles, products of conjugacy classes, and Gromov-Witten invariants*, Annales de l’institut Fourier, **53**, 3, (2003), 713–748
- [V] Verlinde E., *Fusion rules and modular transformations in 2d conformal field theory*, Nucl. Phys. B **300**, 360–376, (1988).

International Centre for Theoretical Physics (ICTP), Mathematics Section
 Strada Costiera 11, I-34014 Trieste, Italy.
 aboysal@ictp.it